## The Western Bypass

By John Rollins

Late 1990's Aldcliffe under threat.

In 1998 the unique position of Aldcliffe as a small residential community in a peaceful rural environment on the edge of Lancaster was threatened by the proposal to build a Lancaster western bypass. The proposed road would link the M6 south of Lancaster to Heysham by building an illuminated dual carriageway from the M6 junction 33 at Hampson Green along the Lune estuary to bridge the river in Lancaster. The road included an election s over Railway Crossing Lane in Aldcliffe that would bring this road within 200 meters of West Lodge and the houses on Craiglands Court.

Craiglands Court residents formed a pressure group to fight this proposal. Both the Lancaster City Council and the Lancashire County Council, who were the Highways Authority, supported the proposal for the western bypass. A number of environmental groups lodged objections and the residents of Stodday also formed a pressure group to oppose the road. The environmetigitation sought to persuade all opponents of the road to form a 'joint groups against the western bypass' which the residents of Stodday joined. Craiglands Court residents decided not to join, as this group was dominated by environmentalists who's position

between this route and the northern route. Aldcliffe resident's relief was short lived as the council, who were not obliged to except the inquiry recommendations, reduced the western route to a single carriageway, made minor alterations to itsapattpressed ahead with their intention to build. The county council selected the Lancaster western bypass as their priority for Lancashire.

Aldcliffe residents responded strongly by forming the Aldcliffe residents' Association and by building a public ampaign against this proposal. Some 150 formal objections were raised against this proposal and were submitted to the Local Authority. The Association continuously lobbied the decision makers and those who could influence the decision. In particular the Goernment Office North-west who had responsibility for structuring planning and highways and advised the Government Minister were presented with the detailed arguments raised by Aldcliffe residents. Eventually the Government Office North-west advised the County Council that their proposals were unlikely to be supported by the government unless they undertook a further detailed environmental survey to compare the two routes and were able to prove the western route to be justified. On the 6th December 2001 theCounty Council decided to commission the survey. This resulted in a further 2 years of investigation and review before the new report was made public.

Published in August 2004 the report proved to be a showstopper for the western route raising many poinst against it. Amongst other things it found that two European protected species, the Great Crested Newt and some rare bats were present on the route and their habitat would be severely damaged by the construction of the western bypass. The County Council sought counsel's opinion from the barrister they had engaged for the public inquiry. She advised them that it would be perverse to continue pressing for the western route in the light of this report. On the<sup>nd</sup> September 2004 the Council therefore decided to build the northern route.

This brought an end to the threat to Aldcliffe. The long campaign had been successful and Aldcliffe could remain a peaceful residential community. A proposal to award the Great Crested Newt 'Aldcliffe resident of the Yeae'rognition was not taken seriously.